#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 112 331 CG 010 105

AUTHOR Barber, Joel A.

Survey of Selected Personnel Functions, May 1975. TITLE

Seattle Public Schools, Wash. Personnel Dept. INSTITUTION

PUB DATE May 75 15p. NOTE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage EDRS PRICE

Elementary Secondary Education; Personnel Directors; \*Personnel Policy; Research Projects; DESCRIPTORS

\*Responsibility: \*Role Theory: \*School Personnel:

\*School Surveys

\*Washington (Seattle) IDENTIFIERS

#### ABSTRACT

Twenty western and northern districts, 10 larger and 10 smaller than Seattle, were surveyed to determine the degree of responsibility of the Personnel Departments in selected functions in the following areas: (1) Certificated Staff, (2) Classified Staff, (3) Records and Payroll, (4) Salary Administration, and (5) Negotiations. Information was also sought regarding the position of the top personnel administrator and the major personnel problems as perceived by him. The major findings were: (1) the Personnel Departments of the majority of the districts are responsible for the personnel functions of the Certificated and Classified staffs and the Salary Administration: (2) the Payroll processing function is usually the responsibility of the Business Department; (3) negotiations are a separate function but receive input from the Personnel Department; (4) the overall personnel responsibility usually rests with an Assistant Superintendent reporting to the Superintendent or his Deputy; (5) the Assistant Superintendent for Personnel has ultimate responsibility for the Certificated and Classified staff whether they are administered as a unit or a separate division; (6) in districts with separate geographical administrative units, as in districts with a single unit, the personnel function is centralized: (7) staff development is most frequently the responsibility of the Curriculum/Instruction Division or Personnel: and (8) the major problems are in the area of personnel placement. These are complicated by the need for staff reductions, affirmative action and desegregation. (Author)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \* \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. 



SURVEY OF SELECTED PERSONNEL FUNCTIONS

MAY, 1975

JOEL A. BARBER

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT Robert Weltzien

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS

SEATTLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Administrative and Service Center
815 Fourth Avenue North
Seattle, Washington 98109

SURVEY OF SELECTED PERSONNEL FUNCTIONS

May, 1975

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT Robert Weltzien, Director

Prepared by:

Joe / A. Barber

Technical Assistance by: Henry J. Reed, Coordinator

Quality Control



#### ABSTRACT

Twenty western and northern districts, ten larger and ten smaller than Seattle, were surveyed to determine the degree of responsibility of the Personnel Departments in selected functions in the following areas:

- 1. Certificated staff
- 2. Classified staff
- 3. Records and Payroll
- 4. Salary Administration
- 5. Negotiations

Information was also sought regarding the position of the top personnel administrator and the major personnel problems as perceived by him.

#### The major findings were:

- 1. The Personnel Departments of the majority of the districts are responsible for the personnel functions of the Certificated and Classified staffs and the Salary Administration.
- 2. The Payroll processing function is usually the responsibility of the Business Department.
- 3. Negotiations are a separate function but receive input from the Personnel Department.
- 4. The overall personnel responsibility usually rests with an Assistant Superintendent reporting to the Superintendent or his Deputy.
- 5. The Assistant Superintendent for Personnel has ultimate responsibility for the Certificated and Classified staff whether they are administered as a unit or a separate division.
- 6. In districts with separate geographical administrative units, as in districts with a single unit, the personnel function is centralized.
- 7. Staff Development is most frequently the responsibility of the Curriculum/Instruction Division or Personnel.
- 8. The major problems are in the area of personnel placement. These are complicated by the need for staff reductions, affirmative action and desegregation.



ii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
PROCEDURES	3
TABLE 1 - CITIES INCLUDED IN STUDY BY RANK ORDER OF STUDENT	
POPULATION	3
PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF DATA	. 5
TABLE 2 - DEGREE OF RESPONSIBILITY IN SELECTED FUNCTIONS OF	
SURVEYED PERSONNEL DEPARTMENTS	6
CONCLUSION	9
APPENDIX	10



#### INTRODUCTION

During the 1975-76 school year a major goal of the Personnel Department is to develop a management and organizational system that will increase the department's efficiency and quality of services.

To assist in decision-making regarding possible changes and obtain more knowledge about the organization and functions of the Personnel Departments of similar school districts, this survey was undertaken. The purpose of the survey was to determine what personnel-related functions were major responsibilities of the surveyed Personnel Departments. The functions were related to the following basic areas:

- 1. Certificated staff
- 2. Classified employees
- 3. Records and Payroll
- 4. Salary Administration
- 5. Negotiations

Other information was also gathered regarding student populations, staff development, title of the administrator in charge of personnel; his salary and his immediate supervisor. Also included in the report is a listing of the ten most critical problems facing district Personnel Departments as identified by the personnel administrator.

The remainder of this report describes the procedures used to collect data, presents the data obtained, and finally summarizes the findings.



#### **PROCEDURES**

#### Population

The population of the study was limited to the Personnel Departments of twenty northern and western cities of approximately the same size as Seattle. Ten of the districts are larger than Seattle, ten are smaller. Table 1 lists the cities surveyed in rank order by student population rounded to the nearest thousand.

TABLE 1
CITIES INCLUDED IN STUDY BY RANK ORDER OF STUDENT POPULATION

	•
Name of City	Approximate No. of Students
Cleveland, Ohio	130,000
Baltimore, Maryland	126,000
San Diego, California	123,000
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	118,000
Indianapolis, Indiana	86,000
Albuquerque, New Mexico	80,000
Denver, Colorado	78,000
Los Vegas, Nevada	77,000
San Francisco, California	73,000
Cincinnati, Ohio	69,000
Portland, Oregon	64,000
Tucson, Arizona	63,000
Minneapolis, Minnesota	60,000
Long Beach, California	59,000
Buffalo, New York	58,000
Omaha, Nebraska	58,000
Kansas City, Missouri	56,000
Oakland, California	55,000
Fresno, California	54,000
Wichita, Kansas	53,000
	Cleveland, Ohio Baltimore, Maryland San Diego, California Milwaukee, Wisconsin Indianapolis, Indiana Albuquerque, New Mexico Denver, Colorado Los Vegas, Nevada San Francisco, California Cincinnati, Ohio Portland, Oregon Tucson, Arizona Minneapolis, Minnesota Long Beach, California Buffalo, New York Omaha, Nebraska Kansas City, Missouri Oakland, California Fresno, California

## Method of Data Collection

During the month of March, 1975, a representative of the Personnel Department of the Seattle Public Schools conducted a telephone survey of the Personnel Departments of school districts shown in Table 1. The respondents were the heads of the Personnel Departments or their immediate representative.



### Description of Survey Form

The data collection form (Appendix, page 9) contained two separate categories of questions. They were:

1. Information Statements

Student populations, number of Certificated staff, title of person responsible for personnel function.

2. Identification of Major Personnel Functions in Selected Areas

Certificated staff, Salary Administration, Negotiations. These questions were limited to a "yes" or "no" response with the exception of a few "Not applicable" responses from which the function is not performed a ywhere in the district.

Because the responses were forced into yes or no categories for statistical purposes, caution must be exercised in literal acceptance of the results. This is particularly true in unit functions which are shared with other departments or where the Personnel Department acts in an advisory or supportive capacity (affirmative action, negotiations, placement).



#### PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF DATA

Table 2 shows the composite results of the survey. The results are indicated by raw numbers and percentages.

Although many interesting facts can be drawn from Table 2, only the most significant findings are reported in this document. It would be possible to use the data in many ways but the primary purpose of the study was to obtain an overview of the degree of involvement of Personnel Departments in selected specific functions, the areas of most concern to Personnel Departments and information regarding the chief personnel administrator.

Findings Related to the Degree of Responsibility of Surveyed Personnel Departments for the Functions in Five Specified Areas

#### 1. Certificated Staff

The Personnel Departments are responsible for the majority of the indicated functions as they related to Certificated Staff.

Affirmative action is the major responsibility of the Personnel Departments in 85% of the surveyed districts. An independent department is reqponsible in 10% of the surveyed departments. One district (5%) has not yet assigned responsibility for an Affirmative Action Program.

All of the surveyed Personnel Departments have the major responsibility for recruitment, interviewing, application processing and the maintenance of personnel files. While the majority of the Personnel Departments in the surveyed districts have the responsibility for the listed functions, there are some exceptions.

Counseling regarding modification of assignment, management of the promotional process and placement are responsibilities of user (usually line) departments only in 10% of the districts. In virtually every district, the Personnel Department consults with and receives input from the user before acting on their functions.

# 2. Classified Staff

The position of responsibility for the listed personnel functions for the Classified staff are similar to the Certificated.

Although slightly over half of the districts have separate Classified divisions, the overall responsibility rests with an administrator in charge of both divisions.

Several of the districts in the survey select their Classified staff from a list of applicants which have been received and ranked by the Civil Service Commission.



5

TABLE 2

# DEGREE OF RESPONSIBILITY IN SELECTED FUNCTIONS OF SURVEYED PERSONNEL DEPARTMENTS

CERTIFICATED	YES	<u>%</u>	NO	<u>%</u>	<u>N.A.</u> <sup>x</sup>	<u>%</u>
Recruitment Interviewing Application processing Affirmative action Counseling re: remodification of assignment Management of promotional process Placement Substitute Services Personnel files Monitoring of Certification	20 20 17 18 17 18 19 20	100 100 100 85 90 85 90 95 100 95	2 2 3 2 1	10 10 15 10 5		5
Recruitment Interviewing Application processing Affirmative action Counseling remodification of assignment Management of promotional process Placement Substitute Services Personnel files Personnel evaluation processing	20 20 17 20 18 19 17 20 19	100 100 100 85 100 90 95 85 100 95	2 2 1 3	10 10 5 15		5
RECORDS AND PAYROLL  Data Base Processing & Input to computer Personnel Data reporting & statistics Salary computation in terms of payroll Payroll processing Payroll maintenance Computation of Employee Benefits	19 18 14 1 1 1 5	95 90 70 5 5 25	1 5 19 19	5 25 95 95 75	1 1 1	5 5 5
SALARY ADMINISTRATION  Salary assignment  Job analysis & evaluation  Professional preparation recording  Contract administration  Class code maintenance  Salar, survey data	YES 20 19 20 17 17 15	½ 100 95 100 85 85 75	NO 1 2 5	½ 5 10 25	N.A.*	<u>%</u> 
NEGOTIATIONS	<u>6</u>	_30	<u>13</u>	65	1_	_5_

## 3. Records and Payroll

All districts surveyed except one have a computer division. These districts collect data for input to the computer. The personnel data reporting and statistics are handled by the Personnel Department in 90% of the surveyed districts.

In 70% of the districts, salary computation is the responsibility of the Personnel Department. In 25%, it is done by another department - usually the Payroll division of the Finance or Business Department.

The basic Payroll function including processing and maintenance is usually a function of the Payroll division of the Finance and/or Business Department.

#### 4. Salary Administration

Salary assignments (100%), job analysis/evaluation (95%), professional preparation recording (100%), contract administration (85%) and class code maintenance are the responsibility of the majority of the Personnel Departments.

While the collection of salary survey data is a major responsibility of most Personnel Departments (75%), the research department assumes this function in 25% of the districts.

### 5. Negotiations

The Personnel Department has the primary responsibility for negotiations in 40% of the districts surveyed.

Negotiations is the area with the greatest variation of responsibility. Some districts have a negotiating team composed of the directors of several departments and reporting directly to the Board. In most districts, negotiations are the responsibility of an independent Employee Relation department which reports directly to the Superintendent. In several districts, employee relations is a division of the Personnel Department. One district has contracted with an outside attorney to direct negotiations.

In all the surveyed districts, the Personnel Department provides data to the negotiating team.

These findings show that the Personnel Departments are usually responsible for the listed Certificated and Classified personnel functions. Payroll is most often a function of the Finanace or Business Department. Salary Administration is basically a function of the Personnel Department. While the responsibility for negotiation varies greatly from district to district, the Personnel Department provides input in every district surveyed.



#### Findings Related to the Administrator with the Ultimate Responsibility for Personnel Administration

#### Title:

Associate	or Deputy Superintendent	25%
Assistant	Superintendent	45%
Director		30%

#### Immediate Supervisor:

Superinter	ıdeı	nt		45%
Associate	or	Deputy	Superintendent	45%
Assistant	Sui	perinter	ndent	10%

#### Average Maximum Salary:

\$31,516

The top personnel administrator holds the rank of Assistant, Associate or Deputy Superintendent in the majority of the districts (70%). This may be interpreted that the head of personnel is considered a key position. This assumption is also indicated by the fact the head of personnel reports directly to the Superintendent in nearly half of the districts (45%).

#### Findings Regarding the Areas of Most Concern to Personnel Departments

Most Frequently	Staff Reduction		
Mentioned Problem	Affirmative Action		
Areas	Negotiations		
	Desegregation		
	Adequate budget to meet st		

taffing needs

Staff transfer

Occasionally Intra-departmental organization and communication Mentioned Problem Availability of accurate and timely data processing Areas Obtaining applicants for positions requiring specialized training

Relationship of Personnel Department to other

departments

Most of the above "problem" areas are related directly to staffing. The major concern seemed to be how to find, select, hire, fire and transfer staff to meet the needs of the district within the constraints placed upon the Personnel Department by outside forces.

#### Findings Related to Responsibility for In-Service Training

Staff development (in-service training) is most frequently the responsibility of either the Curriculum and Instruction Division (40%) or Personnel (35%). In a few districts it is an independent department (15%) or a function of line responsibility (10%).



#### CONCLUSIONS

The following basic conclusions were drawn from the data presented above:

- 1. The Personnel Departments of the majority of the districts are responsible for the personnel functions of the Certificated and Classified staffs and Salary Administration.
- 2. The Payroll processing function is usually the responsibility of the Business Department.
- 3. Negotiations are a separate function but receive input from the Personnel Department.
- 4. The overall personnel responsibility usually rests with an Assistant Superintendent reporting to the Superintendent or his Deputy.
- 5. The Assistant Superintendent for Personnel has ultimate responsibility for the Certificated and Classified staff whether they are administered as a unit or a separate division.
- 6. In districts with separate geographical administrative units, as in districts with a single unit, the personnel function is centralized.
- 7. Staff Development was most frequently the responsibility of the Curriculum/Instruction Division or Personnel.
- 8. The major problems are in the area of personnel placement. These are complicated by the need for staff reductions, affirmative action and desegregation.



9

# SURVEY OF PERSONNEL DEPARTMENTS

DISTRICT	RESPONDENT	
STUDENT POPULATION NUMBER OF	CERTIFICATED	NUMBER OF CLASSIFIED
TITLE OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE		SALARY
REPORTS TO:	(Immediat	te Supervisor)
The Personnel Department or the <u>major</u> responsibility in be YES.)		vities, the answer should
CERTIFICATED Recruitment Interviewing Application processing Affirmative Action Counseling re modification of ass Management of promotional process Placement Substitute Services Personnel files Monitoring of Certification	ignment	
CLASSIFIED Recruitment Interviewing Application processing Affirmative Action Counseling remodification of assi Management of promotional process Placement Substitute Services Personnel files Personnel evaluation processing		
RECORDS AND PAYROLL  Data Base Processing & input to of Personnel Data reporting & statis Salary computation in terms of payroll processing Payroll maintenance Computation of Employee Benefits	stics	



11 ·

# SURVEY OF PERSONNEL DEPARTMENTS - Page two

	YES	<u>NO</u>	<u>N.A</u> .
SALARY ADMINISTRATION			
Salary assignment			
Job analysis & evaluation			
Professional preparation recording	************		
Contract administration			
Class code maintenance			***************************************
Salary survey data	**************************************		
NEGOTIATIONS			

COMMENTS: